

# **BPMN Meets DMN: Business Process and Decision Modeling**

*Week 6:  
Business Decision Modeling*

An openHPI Course

Prof. Dr. Mathias Weske

Hasso Plattner Institute at the University of Potsdam  
Business Process Technology

# Week 6

## Business Decision Modeling

- 6.1 Motivation
- 6.2 DMN Overview
- 6.3 Decision Requirements Diagrams
- 6.4 Decision Logic
- 6.5 Semantics of Decision Tables
- 6.6 Analysis of Decision Tables
- 6.7 Consistency of Processes and Decisions


# Video Clip 6.1

## Motivation

Business Process and Decision Modeling:  
An openHPI Course by Mathias Weske



# 6.1 Motivation

- Many business processes contain decisions
  - Decisions might impact sequence flow (XOR gateway)
  - Decisions assign values (e.g., discount for specific customers)
- Example
  - Determine credit card eligibility




*It's the decisions that make or break your company*

# Process Automation




from M. Weske: Business Process Management, © Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2007

# Implementation of Decisions (1/2)



- Decision is implemented in software
- Issues
  - How is the decision taken?
  - Which data is being used?
  - Which policies are taken into account?
  - Who is responsible for the decision?

# Implementation of Decisions (2/2)




- Decision is taken by process participant
- Issues
  - How is the decision taken?
  - Which data is being used?
  - Which policies are taken into account?
  - Who is responsible for the decision?

yes

no

# Decisions encoded in Processes


- Empirical analysis of 500+ process models from the financial industry
- Example, Version 1



*Process models are being mis-used to model decisions*

# Decisions and Processes


- Example, Version 2



- Process constrains execution sequence of activities, while not providing actual decision logic
  - Sequence flow does not reflect causality

# Decision Management



- Describe decisions in a dedicated decision model
- Focus is on well-defined, operational decisions
  - Like granting a credit or processing an insurance claim
  - Focus is not on strategic decisions like entering a new market
- Modeling goals
  - Improve transparency, maintainability, and change
  - Support automation of repeatable decisions
- Explicit representation of
  - decision structure and decision logic to provide a common language for domain experts and engineers



# Video Clip 6.1

## Motivation

- Avoid ...
  - undocumented decisions
  - representing decisions in processes
- Answer questions
  - How is the decision taken?
  - Which data is being used?
  - Which policies are taken into account?
  - Who is responsible for the decision?
- Provide a common language
  - for domain experts and engineers




# Video Clip 6.2

## DMN Overview

Business Process and Decision Modeling:  
An openHPI Course by Mathias Weske

# Decision Management


- Goal of decision management
  - Model well-defined, operational decisions, thereby improving transparency, maintainability, and supporting change and automation of decisions
- Decision management answers questions
  - How is the decision taken?
  - Which data is being used?
  - Which policies are taken into account?
  - Who is responsible for the decision?



# Decision Model and Notation


- DMN 1.0
  - OMG standard, Sept 2015
  - DMN considers two levels of abstraction
  - No major changes in the upcoming Version 1.1
- Decision requirements
  - Business level to define structure of decisions, their inputs, and relationships between them (and a few other concepts)
- Decision logic
  - Defines how decisions are taken, e.g., by decision tables or business rules
- Relationship to BPMN
  - DMN complements BPMN nicely
  - But DMN can also be used without BPMN!

# Example 1: Decision Requirements




- Decision Requirement Diagrams
  - Model mainly the structure of decisions and their inputs

# Example 1: Decision Logic



# Example 1: Decision Logic




| UC | Inputs     |                     | Outputs       |  |
|----|------------|---------------------|---------------|--|
|    | Debt       | Employ ment History | Credit Rating |  |
|    | {Low,High} | {Bad,Good}          | {A,B,C}       |  |
| 1  | = Low      | = Good              | A             |  |
| 2  | = Low      | = Bad               | B             |  |
| 3  | = High     | = Good              | B             |  |
| 4  | = High     | = Bad               | C             |  |


# Example 1: Decision Assigns Value

- Decision assigns a value to data object *Credit Rating*
  - This data object is used in a subsequent activity
  - The process can be simplified


| UC | Inputs     |                    | Outputs       |      |
|----|------------|--------------------|---------------|------|
|    | Debt       | Employment History | Credit Rating |      |
|    | {Low,High} | {Bad,Good}         | {A,B,C}       |      |
| 1  | =          | Low                | =             | Good |
| 2  | =          | Low                | =             | Bad  |
| 3  | =          | High               | =             | Good |
| 4  | =          | High               | =             | Bad  |



# Example 2: Insurance Claim Process





# Example 2: Adding Data Objects




# Example 2: Decision Requirements

- Data input might refer to attributes of data objects
  - Providing a reference between the models



# Example 2: Decision Logic




| <div> <div>Add rule</div> <div>Remove rule</div> <div>Add input</div> <div>Remove column</div> </div> |                 |                 |                       |          |  |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------|----------|--|
| F                                                                                                     | Inputs          |                 |                       | Outputs  |  |
|                                                                                                       | Claim.Value     | Claim.TheftTime | CustomerContract.Type | Output   |  |
|                                                                                                       | [0€..1000€]     | [0..24]         | {Gold,Silver,Bronze}  | {yes,no} |  |
| 1                                                                                                     | € [0..200] €    | -               | -                     | yes      |  |
| 2                                                                                                     | € [201..500] €  | -               | = Gold                | yes      |  |
| 3                                                                                                     | € [201..500] €  | € [6..22]       | = Silver              | yes      |  |
| 4                                                                                                     | € [201..500] €  | € [8..18]       | = Bronze              | yes      |  |
| 5                                                                                                     | € [501..1000] € | € [6..22]       | = Gold                | yes      |  |
| 6                                                                                                     | € [501..1000] € | € [8..18]       | = Silver              | yes      |  |
| 7                                                                                                     | -               | -               | -                     | no       |  |

# Video Clip 6.2

## DMN Overview

- Decision Requirements Diagrams model the structure of decisions
- Decision logic expresses how decisions are taken



| <div> <div>Add rule</div> <div>Remove rule</div> <div>Add input</div> <div>Remove column</div> </div> |                 |                 |                       |          |  |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------|----------|--|
| F                                                                                                     | Inputs          |                 |                       | Outputs  |  |
|                                                                                                       | Claim.Value     | Claim.TheftTime | CustomerContract.Type | Output   |  |
|                                                                                                       | [0€..1000€]     | [0..24]         | {Gold,Silver,Bronze}  | {yes,no} |  |
| 1                                                                                                     | € [0..200] €    | -               | -                     | yes      |  |
| 2                                                                                                     | € [201..500] €  | -               | = Gold                | yes      |  |
| 3                                                                                                     | € [201..500] €  | € [6..22]       | = Silver              | yes      |  |
| 4                                                                                                     | € [201..500] €  | € [8..18]       | = Bronze              | yes      |  |
| 5                                                                                                     | € [501..1000] € | € [6..22]       | = Gold                | yes      |  |
| 6                                                                                                     | € [501..1000] € | € [8..18]       | = Silver              | yes      |  |
| 7                                                                                                     | -               | -               | -                     | no       |  |


# Video Clip 6.3

## Decision Requirements Diagrams


Business Process and Decision Modeling:  
An openHPI Course by Mathias Weske

# Elements of Decision Requirements Diagrams

- Decision
  - Determines from a set of input values (typically one) output value
  - Is assigned a decision logic, but can also invoke a *business knowledge*





- Business knowledge
  - Contains knowledge on how a decision should be taken
  - Can be re-used by different decisions
  - Is invoked by decisions
    - Input data is transferred to the business knowledge
    - Business knowledge provides output to the decision




# Further Model Elements


- Input data
  - Input data for decisions
  - To be used during decision making
  - Can be linked to a process model by label
- Knowledge source
  - Represents reasons for decisions, to document decisions
    - Legal regulations
    - Business policies
    - Human experts




# Decision Requirements Graph



# Credit Card Example (1/2)



- The decision
  - uses applicant age and employment status as input
  - is based on eligibility policy of the institution and a legal document




# Credit Card Example (2/2)

- Example

- Decision invokes the business knowledge *Eligibility Rules*


- Notice

- Knowledge sources are linked to business knowledges rather than decisions
- Because knowledge source contains decision logic



# Organizational Aspects

- Decision maker
  - Person or role that takes the decision
- Decision owner
  - Person or role that is responsible for the decision



| Name                   | value                                   |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| <b>Main Attributes</b> |                                         |
| Name                   | Determine Basic Credit Card Eligibility |
| Description            |                                         |
| Decision logic         |                                         |
| Background color       |                                         |
| Border color           |                                         |
| Question               |                                         |
| Answers                |                                         |
| <b>More Attributes</b> |                                         |
| Linked diagram         |                                         |
| Decision-maker         | Member CC Checking Dept                 |
| Decision-owner         | Head of CC Checking Dept                |

# Sample Scenario


## **Scenario: Withdrawal of funds at a Bank**

A client expresses the wish to withdraw 9000€ from his bank account.

The bank needs to take the decision whether or not the funds can be provided.


# Scenario: Structure of Decisions

## 1. Define decisions and sub-decisions




# Scenario: Input Data

## 2. Define input data



# Scenario: Knowledge Sources


## 3. Define knowledge source



# Scenario: Decision Logic

## 4. Define decision logic


... will be done in the next video clip



# Video Clip 6.3

## Decision Requirements Diagrams

- Structure decisions and their context
  - Input data, knowledge sources, business knowledges
- Modularization of decisions
  - A decision used by another decision
  - Hierarchical structure of decisions



# Video Clip 6.4

## Decision Logic


Business Process and Decision Modeling:  
An openHPI Course by Mathias Weske

# Introduction to Decision Logic

- Decision Logic
  - Describes how decision output is derived from its input
  - Expressed through value expressions, which might be formal
  - Can be defined in DMN in different ways
- Literal expression
  - Textual representation
  - Program code
  - FEEL, Friendly Enough Expression Language (part of DMN)
- Decision Table
  - Serialized by FEEL
- Invocation
  - Decision calls a business knowledge by passing input values and receiving output

# Textual expression

- Decision logic is provided informally by text
  - Leaves room for interpretation by human decision maker
  - DRG provides overview of the decision structure
- Example: *Application for renting a flat*
  - „*The applicant lives in a stable partnership and has a good credit rating. He does not plan to have any pets. All supporting documents are authentic. And he must be a nice person.*”




# Literal expression

- Decision logic is specified in a formal manner
  - Decision is executable
  - Can be expressed in FEEL
- Example: *Calculation of net income*

*Gross income – Social contributions*

*if Legal status = married  
then Gross income \* 0.35  
else Gross income \* 0.42*




\* Example is made up

# Decision Tables


- Formal tabular specification of input/output expressions
  - Organized as rules
  - These specify how output is derived from input
- Elements of decision tables
  - Name of the table
  - Inputs (arbitrary input: „-“) with domains
  - Domains include open  $]1,10[$  (or „(1,10)“) and closed  $[1,10]$  intervals, simple data types and enumerations
  - Set of outputs (typically exactly one column)
  - Set of rules, the rows of the table
  - Hit policy of the table (explained below)
- Representations
  - FEEL
  - Decision table (“boxed expression” in DMN)

# Decision Table Terminology



# Decision Tables


- Structure
  - One rule per row
  - Conjunctive expressions on input data
  - Disjunctions by multiple rows
  - Output column shaded



| U | Inputs        |                             | Outputs                       |
|---|---------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
|   | Applicant Age | Applicant Employment Status | Basic Credit Card Eligibility |
|   | [1..100]      | {employed,unemployed}       | {yes,no}                      |
| 1 | < 18          | -                           | no                            |
| 2 | ∈ [18..30]    | -                           | yes                           |
| 3 | ∈ [31..65]    | = employed                  | yes                           |
| 4 | ∈ [31..65]    | = unemployed                | no                            |
| 5 | > 65          | -                           | no                            |


# Invocation

- A decision can invoke a business knowledge
  - Input values of decision table are transferred as parameters
  - Return values are received (the decision output)



# Invocation

- Example
  - Decision invokes business knowledge *Eligibility Rules*



| Eligibility Rules |            |                       |          |
|-------------------|------------|-----------------------|----------|
| U                 | Inputs     |                       | Outputs  |
|                   | AppAge     | EmpStatus             | Output   |
|                   | [1..100]   | {employed,unemployed} | {yes,no} |
| 1                 | < 18       | -                     | no       |
| 2                 | € [18..30] | -                     | yes      |
| 3                 | € [31..65] | = employed            | yes      |
| 4                 | € [31..65] | = unemployed          | no       |
| 5                 | > 65       | -                     | no       |

| Determine Basic Credit Card Eligibility |                            |
|-----------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Eligibility Rules                       |                            |
| AppAge                                  | Applicant.Age              |
| EmpStatus                               | Applicant.EmploymentStatus |

# Video Clip 6.4

## Decision Logic

- Define decision logic
  - by text,
  - literal expression,
  - decision table,
  - Invocation.

*„The applicant lives in a stable partnership and has a good credit rating. He does not plan to have any pets. All supporting documents are authentic. And he must be a nice person.“*

*if Legal status = married  
then Gross income \* 0.35  
else Gross income \* 0.42*

| Eligibility Rules |            |                       |          |
|-------------------|------------|-----------------------|----------|
| U                 | Inputs     |                       | Outputs  |
|                   | AppAge     | EmpStatus             | Output   |
|                   | [1..100]   | {employed,unemployed} | {yes,no} |
| 1                 | < 18       | -                     | no       |
| 2                 | € [18..30] | -                     | yes      |
| 3                 | € [31..65] | = employed            | yes      |
| 4                 | € [31..65] | = unemployed          | no       |
| 5                 | > 65       | -                     | no       |

| U | Inputs        |                             | Outputs                       |
|---|---------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
|   | Applicant Age | Applicant Employment Status | Basic Credit Card Eligibility |
|   | [1..100]      | {employed,unemployed}       | {yes,no}                      |
| 1 | < 18          | -                           | no                            |
| 2 | € [18..30]    | -                           | yes                           |
| 3 | € [31..65]    | = employed                  | yes                           |
| 4 | € [31..65]    | = unemployed                | no                            |
| 5 | < 65          | -                           | no                            |

| Determine Basic Credit Card Eligibility |                            |
|-----------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Eligibility Rules                       |                            |
| AppAge                                  | Applicant.Age              |
| EmpStatus                               | Applicant.EmploymentStatus |

# Video Clip 6.5

## Semantics of Decision Tables

Business Process and Decision Modeling:  
An openHPI Course by Mathias Weske

# Introduction

- Semantics of decision tables
  - How output is derived from input
  - Semantics determined by hit policy

- Single hit policies
  - Output of exactly one rule is returned

| U | Inputs        |                             | Outputs                       |
|---|---------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
|   | Applicant Age | Applicant Employment Status | Basic Credit Card Eligibility |
|   | [1..100]      | {employed,unemployed}       | {yes,no}                      |
| 1 | < 18          | -                           | no                            |
| 2 | € [18..30]    | -                           | yes                           |
| 3 | € [31..65]    | = employed                  | yes                           |
| 4 | € [31..65]    | = unemployed                | no                            |
| 5 | > 65          | -                           | no                            |

- Multi hit policies
  - Output values of several rules are used
  - Several output values are returned or
  - An aggregate value is returned

# Single Hit Policies

- Unique (U)
  - For any given input combination, exactly one rule matches
- Example: Health risk decision

| U | Inputs     |                 | Outputs           |
|---|------------|-----------------|-------------------|
|   | Age        | Medical history | Health risk level |
|   | Number     | {good,bad}      | {low,medium,high} |
| 1 | < 20       | = good          | low               |
| 2 | < 20       | = bad           | medium            |
| 3 | ∈ [20..65] | -               | medium            |
| 4 | > 65       | = good          | medium            |
| 5 | > 65       | = bad           | high              |

*The unique policy should be used in most cases, because it guarantees that for each input combination exactly one rule matches*

# Single Hit Policies

- Any (A)
  - For a given combination of input values, several rules can match
  - All rules that match return the same output value
- Example: Credit policy compliance decision
  - For example, (not A, 10.000, 60.000) matches rules 2, 4
  - These rules have the same output

| A | Inputs            |                     |                       | Outputs                           |
|---|-------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|
|   | Credit rating     | Credit card balance | Personal loan balance | Credit policy compliance          |
|   | <i>{A, not A}</i> | <i>Number</i>       | <i>Number</i>         | <i>{compliant, not compliant}</i> |
| 1 | = A               | < 20000             | < 50000               | compliant                         |
| 2 | = not A           | -                   | -                     | not compliant                     |
| 3 | -                 | ≥ 20000             | -                     | not compliant                     |
| 4 | -                 | -                   | ≥ 50000               | not compliant                     |

# Single Hit Policies

- Priority (P)
  - For a given input, several rules can match
  - These rules might have different output values
  - Output with highest priority is returned
- Example: Health risk decision
  - Input combination (70, bad)

| P | Inputs |                 | Outputs           |
|---|--------|-----------------|-------------------|
|   | Age    | Medical history | Health risk level |
|   | Number | {good,bad}      | {low,medium,high} |
| 1 | ≤ 20   | = good          | low               |
| 2 | > 20   | = good          | medium            |
| 3 | > 65   | = bad           | high              |
| 4 | -      | = bad           | medium            |

# Single Hit Policies

- Example: Health risk decision
  - (70, bad) matches rules 3 and 4
  - These have different outputs
  - Priority policy makes sure that only output *medium* is returned, because *medium* appears in the output list before *high*

| P | Inputs |                 | Outputs           |
|---|--------|-----------------|-------------------|
|   | Age    | Medical history | Health risk level |
|   | Number | {good,bad}      | {low,medium,high} |
| 1 | ≤ 20   | = good          | low               |
| 2 | > 20   | = good          | medium            |
| 3 | > 65   | = bad           | high              |
| 4 | -      | = bad           | medium            |

*The priority policy should be avoided, because of its complex semantics*

# Single Hit Policies

- First (F)
  - Variant of Priority policy, in which rules are processed in order
  - The first rule that matches determines the output
- Example: Discount rate decision
  - Input combination (VIC, wholesale, web)

| F | <i>Inputs</i>     |                           |                    | <i>Outputs</i>    |
|---|-------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
|   | Customer location | Customer type             | Channel            | Discount rate     |
|   | <i>{VIC,NSW}</i>  | <i>{wholesale,retail}</i> | <i>{web,phone}</i> | <i>Percentage</i> |
| 1 | = VIC             | = wholesale               | = web              | 15.00 %           |
| 2 | = NSW             | = wholesale               | = web              | 10.00 %           |
| 3 | -                 | = wholesale               | = web              | 5.00 %            |
| 4 | -                 | = retail                  | = web              | 5.00 %            |
| 5 | -                 | -                         | = phone            | 0.00 %            |

# Single Hit Policies

- Example: Discount rate decision
  - (VIC, wholesale, web) matches rules 1 and 3, which have different outputs
  - F policy makes sure that only rule 1 fires

| F | Inputs            |                    |             | Outputs       |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|-------------|---------------|
|   | Customer location | Customer type      | Channel     | Discount rate |
|   | {VIC,NSW}         | {wholesale,retail} | {web,phone} | Percentage    |
| 1 | = VIC             | = wholesale        | = web       | 15.00 %       |
| 2 | = NSW             | = wholesale        | = web       | 10.00 %       |
| 3 | -                 | = wholesale        | = web       | 5.00 %        |
| 4 | -                 | = retail           | = web       | 5.00 %        |
| 5 | -                 | -                  | = phone     | 0.00 %        |

*The first policy should only be used in cases, where if-then-else logic is appropriate*

# Multi Hit Policies

- Multi hit
  - Several matching rules can be used for determining the output
- Output order (O)
  - Returns all hits in decreasing output priority order
  - Output priorities are specified in the ordered list of output values in decreasing order of priority

- Input (50, 31)
- Rules 1, 4, 5 fire
  - Output priority leads to (20,10,5)

| O | <i>Inputs</i> |                  | <i>Outputs</i>               |
|---|---------------|------------------|------------------------------|
|   | Age           | Years of service | Eligible annual leave (days) |
|   | <i>Number</i> | <i>Number</i>    | <i>{20,10,5,3}</i>           |
| 1 | -             | -                | 20                           |
| 2 | < 20          | -                | 3                            |
| 3 | > 60          | -                | 5                            |
| 4 | -             | ≥ 10             | 5                            |
| 5 | -             | ≥ 20             | 10                           |

# Multi Hit Policies

- Rule order (R)
  - Outputs of all matching rules are returned as a sorted list, in the order of the rules

| R | <i>Inputs</i> |                  | <i>Outputs</i>               |
|---|---------------|------------------|------------------------------|
|   | Age           | Years of service | Eligible annual leave (days) |
|   | <i>Number</i> | <i>Number</i>    | <i>{20,10,5,3}</i>           |
| 1 | -             | -                | 20                           |
| 2 | < 20          | -                | 3                            |
| 3 | > 60          | -                | 5                            |
| 4 | -             | ≥ 10             | 5                            |
| 5 | -             | ≥ 20             | 10                           |

- Input (50, 31)
- Rules 1, 4, 5 fire
  - Rule order leads to (20,5,10)

# Multi Hit Policies

- Collect (C)
  - Outputs of all matching rules are returned as unsorted list
  - Aggregation can be applied  
(*sum +, min <, max >, count #*)

| C+ | Inputs |                  | Outputs                      |
|----|--------|------------------|------------------------------|
|    | Age    | Years of service | Eligible annual leave (days) |
|    | Number | Number           | {20,10,5,3}                  |
| 1  | -      | -                | 20                           |
| 2  | < 20   | -                | 3                            |
| 3  | > 60   | -                | 5                            |
| 4  | -      | ≥ 10             | 5                            |
| 5  | -      | ≥ 20             | 10                           |

- Input (50, 31)
- Rules 1, 4, 5 fire
  - Summation  
leads to result 35

# Video Clip 6.5

## Semantics of Decision Tables

- Single hit policies

- Unique
- Any
- Priority
- First

| U | Inputs        |                             | Outputs                       |
|---|---------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
|   | Applicant Age | Applicant Employment Status | Basic Credit Card Eligibility |
|   | [1..100]      | {employed,unemployed}       | {yes,no}                      |
| 1 | < 18          | -                           | no                            |
| 2 | ∈ [18..30]    | -                           | yes                           |
| 3 | ∈ [31..65]    | = employed                  | yes                           |
| 4 | ∈ [31..65]    | = unemployed                | no                            |
| 5 | > 65          | -                           | no                            |

- Multi hit policies

- Output order
- Rule order
- Collect
  - + < > #

| R | Inputs |                  | Outputs                      |
|---|--------|------------------|------------------------------|
|   | Age    | Years of service | Eligible annual leave (days) |
|   | Number | Number           | {20,10,5,3}                  |
| 1 | -      | -                | 20                           |
| 2 | < 20   | -                | 3                            |
| 3 | > 60   | -                | 5                            |
| 4 | -      | ≥ 10             | 5                            |
| 5 | -      | ≥ 20             | 10                           |

# Video Clip 6.6

## Analysis of Decision Tables

Business Process and Decision Modeling:  
An openHPI Course by Mathias Weske

# Analysis of Decision Tables

- Decision tables are important assets
  - Their quality should be as high as possible
  - Therefore we investigate analysis techniques
- Completeness
  - Are all possible input combinations actually covered by the decision table?
- Consistency
  - Is the decision table in line with its hit policy?

| Determine Health Risk Level |            |                 |                   |
|-----------------------------|------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| U                           | Age        | Medical History | Health Risk Level |
| 1                           | < 20       | good            | low               |
| 2                           |            | bad             | medium            |
| 3                           | [20 .. 65] | –               | medium            |
| 4                           | > 65       | good            | medium            |
| 5                           |            | bad             | high              |

# Completeness of DecisionTables

- Completeness, more concrete
  - For all combinations of input values, is there a rule that covers it?
- Example 1
  - For  $Age=\{1..100\}$  and  $MedicalHistory=\{good,bad\}$ , all combinations of the set  $Age \times MedicalHistory$  have to be covered

| Determine Health Risk Level |            |                 |                   |
|-----------------------------|------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| U                           | Age        | Medical History | Health Risk Level |
| 1                           | < 20       | good            | low               |
| 2                           |            | bad             | medium            |
| 3                           | [20 .. 65] | –               | medium            |
| 4                           | > 65       | good            | medium            |
| 5                           |            | bad             | high              |

*Decision table is complete, because all combinations are covered*

# Completeness of DecisionTables

- Example 2
  - For  $Age=\{1..100\}$  and  $MedicalHistory=\{good,bad\}$ , all combinations of the set  $Age \times MedicalHistory$  have to be covered

| Determine Health Risk Level |      |                 |                          |
|-----------------------------|------|-----------------|--------------------------|
| p                           | Age  | Medical History | Health Risk Level        |
|                             |      |                 | <i>high, medium, low</i> |
| 1                           | < 20 | good            | low                      |
| 2                           | > 20 | good            | medium                   |
| 3                           | > 65 | bad             | high                     |
| 5                           | –    | bad             | medium                   |

*Decision table is not complete, since the case  $Age=20$  and  $MedicalHistory=good$  is not covered*

# Completeness of DecisionTables

- Incomplete Decision Tables

- When a process with uncovered values is executed, the decision cannot be taken
- Completeness makes sure that a decision can always be taken

| Determine Health Risk Level |      |                 |                          |
|-----------------------------|------|-----------------|--------------------------|
| p                           | Age  | Medical History | Health Risk Level        |
|                             |      |                 | <i>high, medium, low</i> |
| 1                           | < 20 | good            | low                      |
| 2                           | > 20 | good            | medium                   |
| 3                           | > 65 | bad             | high                     |
| 5                           | –    | bad             | medium                   |

- Remarks

- Even though Completeness is not covered in DMN 1.1, it is good practice to check it!
- Tools provide automatic completeness checking

# Consistency of Decision Tables

- Single hit properties can be analyzed
- Unique property
  - Violated if there is an input combination that matches two rows
- Example 1

| U | Inputs     |                 | Outputs           |
|---|------------|-----------------|-------------------|
|   | Age        | Medical history | Health risk level |
|   | Number     | {good,bad}      | {low,medium,high} |
| 1 | < 20       | = good          | low               |
| 2 | < 20       | = bad           | medium            |
| 3 | ∈ [20..65] | -               | medium            |
| 4 | > 65       | = good          | medium            |
| 5 | > 65       | = bad           | high              |

*Unique property is satisfied*

# Consistency of Decision Tables

- Example 2
  - Is the Unique property now violated?

| U | <i>Inputs</i> |                   | <i>Outputs</i>           |
|---|---------------|-------------------|--------------------------|
|   | Age           | Medical history   | Health risk level        |
|   | <i>Number</i> | <i>{good,bad}</i> | <i>{low,medium,high}</i> |
| 1 | < 20          | = good            | low                      |
| 2 | < 20          | = bad             | medium                   |
| 3 | ∈ [20..65]    | = -               | medium                   |
| 4 | > 65          | = good            | medium                   |
| 5 | >= 65         | = bad             | high                     |

*Unique property is violated, because there is an input combination (65,bad) for which rules 3 and 5 match*

# Consistency of Decision Tables

- Any property
  - Violated if a given input combination matches two rows with different outputs
- Is the Any property violated?

| A | <i>Inputs</i> |                   | <i>Outputs</i>           |  |
|---|---------------|-------------------|--------------------------|--|
|   | Age           | Medical history   | Health risk level        |  |
|   | <i>Number</i> | <i>{good,bad}</i> | <i>{low,medium,high}</i> |  |
| 1 | < 20          | = good            | low                      |  |
| 2 | < 20          | = bad             | medium                   |  |
| 3 | ∈ [20..65]    | -                 | medium                   |  |
| 4 | > 65          | = good            | medium                   |  |
| 5 | > = 65        | = bad             | high                     |  |

*Yes, since there are outputs (high, medium) for (65,bad), matching rules 3 and 5*

# Consistency of Decision Tables

- Can Priority be violated as well?

| P | <i>Inputs</i> |                   |        | <i>Outputs</i>           |
|---|---------------|-------------------|--------|--------------------------|
|   | Age           | Medical history   |        | Health risk level        |
|   | <i>Number</i> | <i>{good,bad}</i> |        | <i>{low,medium,high}</i> |
| 1 | <             | 20                | = good | low                      |
| 2 | <             | 20                | = bad  | medium                   |
| 3 | ∈             | [20..65]          | -      | medium                   |
| 4 | >             | 65                | = good | medium                   |
| 5 | >=            | 65                | = bad  | high                     |

*Actually not, since even if several rows match, a single one will be chosen deterministically*

# Consistency of Decision Tables

- Example 3
  - Is Any property now violated?

| A | <i>Inputs</i> |                   | <i>Outputs</i>           |  |
|---|---------------|-------------------|--------------------------|--|
|   | Age           | Medical history   | Health risk level        |  |
|   | <i>Number</i> | <i>{good,bad}</i> | <i>{low,medium,high}</i> |  |
| 1 | < 20          | = good            | low                      |  |
| 2 | < 20          | = bad             | medium                   |  |
| 3 | ∈ [20..65]    | = -               | medium                   |  |
| 4 | > = 65        | = good            | medium                   |  |
| 5 | > 65          | = bad             | high                     |  |

*No, since there is just one output (medium) for (65,good), matching rules 3 and 4.*

# Video Clip 6.6

## Analysis of Decision Tables

- Completeness of Decision Tables

| Determine Health Risk Level |      |                 |                   |
|-----------------------------|------|-----------------|-------------------|
| p                           | Age  | Medical History | Health Risk Level |
|                             |      |                 | high, medium, low |
| 1                           | < 20 | good            | low               |
| 2                           | > 20 | good            | medium            |
| 3                           | > 65 | bad             | high              |
| 5                           | –    | bad             | medium            |

- Consistency of Decision Tables
  - Unique
  - Any
  - Priority / First

| U | Inputs     |                 | Outputs           |  |
|---|------------|-----------------|-------------------|--|
|   | Age        | Medical history | Health risk level |  |
|   | Number     | {good,bad}      | {low,medium,high} |  |
| 1 | < 20       | = good          | low               |  |
| 2 | < 20       | = bad           | medium            |  |
| 3 | ∈ [20..65] | =               | medium            |  |
| 4 | > 65       | = good          | medium            |  |
| 5 | > = 65     | = bad           | high              |  |


# Video Clip 6.7

## Consistency of Processes and Decisions

Business Process and Decision Modeling:  
An openHPI Course by Mathias Weske


# Introduction

- A business process with decisions can be represented by
  - Process model (BPMN process diagram)
  - Decision requirements model (DMN DRG)
  - Decision logic model (DMN Decision Table)
- Modeling theory: One original – several models
  - These models need to be consistent
  - There must not be any contradictions between them




# Structural Consistency

- We investigate consistency related to the structure of decisions, involving
  - Decision tasks and decisions
  - Data aspects
  - Organizational aspects




# Decision Tasks and Decisions

- Consistency property
  - For each decision task in the process model there must be a corresponding decision in the decision requirements diagram





# Input Data

- Consistency property
  - Input data objects in the process model match input data in the DRG
- Problems
  - Data is often not represented in process models
  - Might have a different granularity



# Input Data


- Approach
  - Use data models, like UML class diagrams, to align data objects with decision inputs
  - Make sure all data is available when decision task is enabled
- Models facilitate communication
  - Process and decision models expose the need to align data objects with decision inputs



# Organizational Aspects

- Defining responsibilities
  - We can specify lanes in business processes, assigning responsibilities to activities
  - We can also specify organizational aspects in decision models
- Consistency property
  - The resource identified by the lane of a decision task  $D$  in a process model matches the resource that is the decision maker of  $D$  in the decision model
  - In DMN, we can also specify a decision owner, who can be involved in a possible reviewing activity of the decision



# Organizational Aspects



# Behavioural Consistency

- Investigate if decision output is consistent with the process behaviour
- *Output coverage*
  - All outputs of the decision can be processed by the process
- *Branch coverage*
  - Each branch in the process is represented by at least one possible output in the decision model
- Remark
  - These concepts will be illustrated by a fictional train ticket purchasing scenario

# Booking a Train Ticket




| BahnCard.type<br><i>{25, 50}</i> | BahnCard.points<br><i>Number</i> | Discount<br><i>{25%, 50%, 60%, 70%}</i> |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| 25                               | < 1000                           | 25%                                     |
| 50                               | < 1000                           | 50%                                     |
| 25                               | >= 1000                          | 60%                                     |
| 50                               | >= 1000                          | 70%                                     |

\* Concrete values are made up

# Output Coverage Criterion

- All outputs of the decision can be processed by the process
  - To illustrate that criterion, we use a modified decision table




| BahnCard.type<br>{25, 50} | BahnCard.points<br>Number | Discount<br>{25%, 50%, 60%, 70%} |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 25                        | < 1000                    | 25%                              |
| 50                        | < 1000                    | 50%                              |
| --                        | >= 1000                   | 60%                              |

*Output coverage criterion violated, because there is no branch in the process model for output 60%*

# Branch Coverage Criterion

- Each branch in the process model is represented by at least one row in the decision model
  - Again, the decision table is modified





| BahnCard.type<br>{25, 50} | BahnCard.points<br>Number | Discount<br>{25%, 50%} |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
| 25                        | < 1000                    | 25%                    |
| 50                        | < 1000                    | 50%                    |
| --                        | >= 1000                   | 50%                    |

*Branch coverage criterion is violated, because  
There is no row in the decision table for the branch 60%*

# Video Clip 6.7

## Consistency of Processes and Decisions

- Structural
  - Activities and decisions
  - Data aspects
  - Organizational aspects




| Attributes (Decision)  |                            |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| Name                   | value                      |
| <b>Main Attributes</b> |                            |
| Name                   | Determine Basic Credit ... |
| Description            |                            |
| Decision logic         |                            |
| Background color       |                            |
| Border color           |                            |
| Question               |                            |
| Answers                |                            |
| <b>More Attributes</b> |                            |
| Linked diagram         |                            |
| Decision-maker         | Claim Clerk                |
| Decision-owner         | Claim Manager              |
| Element ID             | sid-B7396C06-751A-4C...    |

# Video Clip 6.7

## Consistency of Processes and Decisions

- Behavioural
  - Decisions and branches in the process
  - Output coverage
  - Branch coverage




| BahnCard.type<br><i>{25, 50}</i> | BahnCard.points<br><i>Number</i> | Discount<br><i>{25%, 50%, 60%, 70%}</i> |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| 25                               | < 1000                           | 25%                                     |
| 50                               | < 1000                           | 50%                                     |
| --                               | >= 1000                          | 60%                                     |

# Summary Week 6

## Business Decision Management

- DMN provides answers to the questions raised

- How are decisions taken?
- Which data is being used?
- Which policies are taken into account?
- Who is responsible for the decision?



- Massive interest by industry, e.g. finance

- Main drivers: Auditing and compliance requirements
- Moving processes closer to implementation („help reducing Business-IT Gap“)

| U | Inputs        |                             | Outputs                       |
|---|---------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
|   | Applicant Age | Applicant Employment Status | Basic Credit Card Eligibility |
|   | [1..100]      | {employed,unemployed}       | {yes,no}                      |
| 1 | < 18          | -                           | no                            |
| 2 | € [18..30]    | -                           | yes                           |
| 3 | € [31..65]    | = employed                  | yes                           |
| 4 | € [31..65]    | = unemployed                | no                            |
| 5 | > 65          | -                           | no                            |